## OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS (IMPORT)

## JAWAHARLAL NEHRU CUSTOMS HOUSE, SHEVA, TAL.-URAN

## DIST. • RAIGAD, MAHARASHTRA • 400 707.

F.NO. S/26-MISC-04/06 LEGAL (IMP)

000000000000000000000000000000000000000
��������������������������������������
22.12.2006000000000000000000000000000000
<b>00000000000000000</b>
<b>0000000000000000000000000000000000000</b>
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
ÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀÀ

## **STANDING ORDER NO.01/2007**

**��** of departmental response to Supreme

\$\displaystyle \displaystyle \dintxtyle \displaystyle \displaystyle \displaystyle \dis

No. 835/12/06 CX F.No. 390(Misc) 101/2005 JC (Pt.) dtd. 5<sup>th</sup> Oct. 2006.

Law and Secretary Revenue have taken serious not e of the fact that the Department has been losing a number of cases in the Supreme Court involving high revenue, solely on the ground of limitation. In this regard, your attention is invited to the following instructions issued by the Board on the above subject:

- 1. Circular No. 313/29/97-CX., dtd. 6.5.97 issued vide F.No. 390/107/97-JC.
- 2. Circular No. 402/35/98-CX., dtd. 9.6.98 issued vide F.No. 390/107/97-JC.
- 3. Circular No. 710/26/2003-CX., dtd. 23.04.03 issued vide F.No. 390/198
- **� ���**(m-2)/2002-JC.
- 4. Circular No. 746/62/03-CX., dtd. 22.09.03 issued vide F.No. 390/85/2003-JC.
- 5. Circular No. 819/16/05-CX., dtd. 5/13.10.05 issued vide F.No. 390/101/05-JC.
- 02. �����Notwithstanding above repeated instructions, it is noticed that in a large number of cases there is delay in the forwarding of the proposal by the Commissionerates.� This has led to dismissal of several cases by the Supreme Court on limitation alone.� It is reiterated that action should be initiated immediately on receipt of uncertified copy of the CESTAT�s orders (now available on WWW. CESTAT.GOV. IN).

- 04. �����In this context, steps have been initiated by DG (Systems) to dematerialize documents and records right from the Show Cause Notice stage.� Once appeal papers are dematerialized their on line transmission will save considerable time and will also take care of missing documents� at subsequent appeal stages.
- 05. ����I would also like to re-emphasize on the need to file timely appeals to obviate dismissal on the ground of limitation which leads to significant revenue losses.
- 06. ����Hence forth in all cases where department has lost on account of limitation, responsibility shall be fixed for the purpose of disciplinary action.
- 07. ����Accordingly, every appeal which is being forwarded for appealing in Supreme Court must contain a time chart and reasons for delay beyond 5 days.

